

# ZF

Steven Obua

June 21, 2010

```
theory HOLZF
imports Main
begin
```

```
typedecl ZF
```

```
axiomatization
```

```
  Empty :: ZF and
  Elem :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  Sum :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF and
  Power :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF and
  Repl :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  (ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF)  $\Rightarrow$  ZF and
  Inf :: ZF
```

```
definition Upair :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
```

```
  Upair a b == Repl (Power (Power Empty)) (% x. if x = Empty then a else b)
```

```
definition Singleton:: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
```

```
  Singleton x == Upair x x
```

```
definition union :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
```

```
  union A B == Sum (Upair A B)
```

```
definition SucNat:: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF where
```

```
  SucNat x == union x (Singleton x)
```

```
definition subset :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
```

```
  subset A B == ! x. Elem x A  $\longrightarrow$  Elem x B
```

```
axioms
```

```
  Empty: Not (Elem x Empty)
  Ext: (x = y) = (! z. Elem z x = Elem z y)
  Sum: Elem z (Sum x) = (? y. Elem z y & Elem y x)
  Power: Elem y (Power x) = (subset y x)
  Repl: Elem b (Repl A f) = (? a. Elem a A & b = f a)
  Regularity: A  $\neq$  Empty  $\longrightarrow$  (? x. Elem x A & (! y. Elem y x  $\longrightarrow$  Not (Elem y
```

A)))

*Infinity: Elem Empty Inf & (! x. Elem x Inf  $\longrightarrow$  Elem (SucNat x) Inf)*

**definition** *Sep* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow (ZF \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**

*Sep A p == (if (!x. Elem x A  $\longrightarrow$  Not (p x)) then Empty else  
(let z = ( $\epsilon$  x. Elem x A & p x) in  
let f = % x. (if p x then x else z) in Repl A f))*

**thm** *Power*[unfolded subset-def]

**theorem** *Sep*: Elem b (Sep A p) = (Elem b A & p b)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subset-empty*: subset Empty A

*<proof>*

**theorem** *Upair*: Elem x (Upair a b) = (x = a | x = b)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Singleton*: Elem x (Singleton y) = (x = y)

*<proof>*

**definition** *Opair* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**

*Opair a b == Upair (Upair a a) (Upair a b)*

**lemma** *Upair-singleton*: (Upair a a = Upair c d) = (a = c & a = d)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Upair-fsteg*: (Upair a b = Upair a c) = ((a = b & a = c) | (b = c))

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Upair-comm*: Upair a b = Upair b a

*<proof>*

**theorem** *Opair*: (Opair a b = Opair c d) = (a = c & b = d)

*<proof>*

**definition** *Replacement* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow (ZF \Rightarrow ZF \text{ option}) \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**

*Replacement A f == Repl (Sep A (% a. f a  $\neq$  None)) (the o f)*

**theorem** *Replacement*: Elem y (Replacement A f) = (? x. Elem x A & f x = Some

y)

*<proof>*

**definition** *Fst* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**

*Fst q == SOME x. ? y. q = Opair x y*

**definition** *Snd* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**

*Snd q == SOME y. ? x. q = Opair x y*

**theorem** *Fst*:  $Fst (Opair\ x\ y) = x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**theorem** *Snd*:  $Snd (Opair\ x\ y) = y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *isOpair* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
*isOpair*  $q == ?\ x\ y. q = Opair\ x\ y$

**lemma** *isOpair*:  $isOpair (Opair\ x\ y) = True$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *FstSnd*:  $isOpair\ x \Longrightarrow Opair\ (Fst\ x)\ (Snd\ x) = x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *CartProd* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
*CartProd*  $A\ B == Sum(Repl\ A\ (\% a. Repl\ B\ (\% b. Opair\ a\ b)))$

**lemma** *CartProd*:  $Elem\ x\ (CartProd\ A\ B) = (? a\ b. Elem\ a\ A \ \&\ Elem\ b\ B \ \&\ x = (Opair\ a\ b))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *explode* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF\ set$  **where**  
*explode*  $z == \{ x. Elem\ x\ z \}$

**lemma** *explode-Empty*:  $(explode\ x = \{\}) = (x = Empty)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *explode-Elem*:  $(x \in explode\ X) = (Elem\ x\ X)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Elem-explode-in*:  $\llbracket Elem\ a\ A; explode\ A \subseteq B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in B$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *explode-CartProd-eq*:  $explode\ (CartProd\ a\ b) = (\% (x,y). Opair\ x\ y)\ ' ((explode\ a) \times (explode\ b))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *explode-Repl-eq*:  $explode\ (Repl\ A\ f) = image\ f\ (explode\ A)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *Domain* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
*Domain*  $f == Replacement\ f\ (\% p. if\ isOpair\ p\ then\ Some\ (Fst\ p)\ else\ None)$

**definition** *Range* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
*Range*  $f == Replacement\ f\ (\% p. if\ isOpair\ p\ then\ Some\ (Snd\ p)\ else\ None)$

**theorem** *Domain*:  $Elem\ x\ (Domain\ f) = (? y. Elem\ (Opair\ x\ y)\ f)$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *Range*:  $\text{Elem } y \ (\text{Range } f) = (? \ x. \ \text{Elem } (\text{Opair } x \ y) \ f)$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *union*:  $\text{Elem } x \ (\text{union } A \ B) = (\text{Elem } x \ A \mid \text{Elem } x \ B)$   
*<proof>*

**definition** *Field* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $\text{Field } A == \text{union } (\text{Domain } A) \ (\text{Range } A)$

**definition** *app* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  (**infixl** ' 90) — function application **where**  
 $f \ ' \ x == (\text{THE } y. \ \text{Elem } (\text{Opair } x \ y) \ f)$

**definition** *isFun* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{isFun } f == (! \ x \ y1 \ y2. \ \text{Elem } (\text{Opair } x \ y1) \ f \ \& \ \text{Elem } (\text{Opair } x \ y2) \ f \longrightarrow y1 = y2)$

**definition** *Lambda* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow (ZF \Rightarrow ZF) \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $\text{Lambda } A \ f == \text{Repl } A \ (\% \ x. \ \text{Opair } x \ (f \ x))$

**lemma** *Lambda-app*:  $\text{Elem } x \ A \Longrightarrow (\text{Lambda } A \ f) \ ' \ x = f \ x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *isFun-Lambda*:  $\text{isFun } (\text{Lambda } A \ f)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *domain-Lambda*:  $\text{Domain } (\text{Lambda } A \ f) = A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Lambda-ext*:  $(\text{Lambda } s \ f = \text{Lambda } t \ g) = (s = t \ \& \ (! \ x. \ \text{Elem } x \ s \longrightarrow f \ x = g \ x))$   
*<proof>*

**definition** *PFun* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $\text{PFun } A \ B == \text{Sep } (\text{Power } (\text{CartProd } A \ B)) \ \text{isFun}$

**definition** *Fun* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $\text{Fun } A \ B == \text{Sep } (\text{PFun } A \ B) \ (\lambda \ f. \ \text{Domain } f = A)$

**lemma** *Fun-Range*:  $\text{Elem } f \ (\text{Fun } U \ V) \Longrightarrow \text{subset } (\text{Range } f) \ V$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Elem-Elem-PFun*:  $\text{Elem } F \ (\text{PFun } U \ V) \Longrightarrow \text{Elem } p \ F \Longrightarrow \text{isOpair } p \ \& \ \text{Elem } (\text{Fst } p) \ U \ \& \ \text{Elem } (\text{Snd } p) \ V$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Fun-implies-PFun[simp]*:  $\text{Elem } f \ (\text{Fun } U \ V) \Longrightarrow \text{Elem } f \ (\text{PFun } U \ V)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Elem-Elem-Fun*:  $Elem\ F\ (Fun\ U\ V) \implies Elem\ p\ F \implies isOpair\ p\ \&\ Elem\ (Fst\ p)\ U\ \&\ Elem\ (Snd\ p)\ V$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *PFun-inj*:  $Elem\ F\ (PFun\ U\ V) \implies Elem\ x\ F \implies Elem\ y\ F \implies Fst\ x = Fst\ y \implies Snd\ x = Snd\ y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Fun-total*:  $\llbracket Elem\ F\ (Fun\ U\ V); Elem\ a\ U \rrbracket \implies \exists x. Elem\ (Opair\ a\ x)\ F$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *unique-fun-value*:  $\llbracket isFun\ f; Elem\ x\ (Domain\ f) \rrbracket \implies ?! y. Elem\ (Opair\ x\ y)\ f$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fun-value-in-range*:  $\llbracket isFun\ f; Elem\ x\ (Domain\ f) \rrbracket \implies Elem\ (f\ 'x)\ (Range\ f)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fun-range-witness*:  $\llbracket isFun\ f; Elem\ y\ (Range\ f) \rrbracket \implies ? x. Elem\ x\ (Domain\ f) \ \&\ f\ 'x = y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Elem-Fun-Lambda*:  $Elem\ F\ (Fun\ U\ V) \implies ? f. F = Lambda\ U\ f$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Elem-Lambda-Fun*:  $Elem\ (Lambda\ A\ f)\ (Fun\ U\ V) = (A = U \ \&\ (! x. Elem\ x\ A \longrightarrow Elem\ (f\ x)\ V))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *is-Elem-of* ::  $(ZF * ZF)\ set$  **where**  
*is-Elem-of* ==  $\{ (a,b) \mid a\ b. Elem\ a\ b \}$

**lemma** *cond-wf-Elem*:

**assumes** *hyp*s:  $\forall x. (\forall y. Elem\ y\ x \longrightarrow Elem\ y\ U \longrightarrow P\ y) \longrightarrow Elem\ x\ U \longrightarrow P\ x$   
 $Elem\ a\ U$

**shows**  $P\ a$

⟨proof⟩

**term**  $P$

**term**  $Sep$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cond2-wf-Elem*:

**assumes**

*special-P*:  $? U. ! x. Not(Elem\ x\ U) \longrightarrow (P\ x)$

**and** *P-induct*:  $\forall x. (\forall y. Elem\ y\ x \longrightarrow P\ y) \longrightarrow P\ x$

**shows**  
 $P\ a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**consts**  
 $nat2Nat :: nat \Rightarrow ZF$

**primrec**  
 $nat2Nat-0[intro]: nat2Nat\ 0 = Empty$   
 $nat2Nat-Suc[intro]: nat2Nat\ (Suc\ n) = SucNat\ (nat2Nat\ n)$

**definition**  $Nat2nat :: ZF \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $Nat2nat == inv\ nat2Nat$

**lemma**  $Elem-nat2Nat-inf[intro]: Elem\ (nat2Nat\ n)\ Inf$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $Nat :: ZF$   
**where**  $Nat == Sep\ Inf\ (\lambda\ N.\ ?\ n.\ nat2Nat\ n = N)$

**lemma**  $Elem-nat2Nat-Nat[intro]: Elem\ (nat2Nat\ n)\ Nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Elem-Empty-Nat: Elem\ Empty\ Nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Elem-SucNat-Nat: Elem\ N\ Nat \implies Elem\ (SucNat\ N)\ Nat$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $no-infinite-Elem-down-chain:$   
 $Not\ (? f.\ isFun\ f\ \&\ Domain\ f = Nat\ \&\ (! N.\ Elem\ N\ Nat \longrightarrow Elem\ (f'\ (SucNat\ N))\ (f'\ N)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Upair-nonEmpty: Upair\ a\ b \neq Empty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Singleton-nonEmpty: Singleton\ x \neq Empty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $notsym-Elem: Not(Elem\ a\ b\ \&\ Elem\ b\ a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $irreflexiv-Elem: Not(Elem\ a\ a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $antisym-Elem: Elem\ a\ b \implies Not\ (Elem\ b\ a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**consts**

*NatInterval* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *ZF*

**primrec**

*NatInterval* *n* 0 = *Singleton* (*nat2Nat* *n*)

*NatInterval* *n* (*Suc* *m*) = *union* (*NatInterval* *n* *m*) (*Singleton* (*nat2Nat* (*n*+*m*+1)))

**lemma** *n-Elem-NatInterval*[*rule-format*]: ! *q*. *q* <= *m*  $\longrightarrow$  *Elem* (*nat2Nat* (*n*+*q*))  
(*NatInterval* *n* *m*)  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *NatInterval-not-Empty*: *NatInterval* *n* *m*  $\neq$  *Empty*  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *increasing-nat2Nat*[*rule-format*]: 0 < *n*  $\longrightarrow$  *Elem* (*nat2Nat* (*n* - 1))  
(*nat2Nat* *n*)  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *represent-NatInterval*[*rule-format*]: *Elem* *x* (*NatInterval* *n* *m*)  $\longrightarrow$  (? *u*. *n*  
 $\leq$  *u* & *u*  $\leq$  *n*+*m* & *nat2Nat* *u* = *x*)  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *inj-nat2Nat*: *inj* *nat2Nat*  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *Nat2nat-nat2Nat*[*simp*]: *Nat2nat* (*nat2Nat* *n*) = *n*  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *nat2Nat-Nat2nat*[*simp*]: *Elem* *n* *Nat*  $\implies$  *nat2Nat* (*Nat2nat* *n*) = *n*  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *Nat2nat-SucNat*: *Elem* *N* *Nat*  $\implies$  *Nat2nat* (*SucNat* *N*) = *Suc* (*Nat2nat* *N*)  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *Elem-Opair-exists*: ? *z*. *Elem* *x* *z* & *Elem* *y* *z* & *Elem* *z* (*Opair* *x* *y*)  
(*proof*)

**lemma** *UNIV-is-not-in-ZF*: *UNIV*  $\neq$  *explode* *R*  
(*proof*)

**definition** *SpecialR* :: (*ZF* \* *ZF*) *set* **where**  
*SpecialR*  $\equiv$  { (*x*, *y*) . *x*  $\neq$  *Empty*  $\wedge$  *y* = *Empty*}

**lemma** *wf SpecialR*  
(*proof*)

**definition** *Ext* :: ('a \* 'b) set  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**

*Ext* R y  $\equiv \{ x \cdot (x, y) \in R \}$

**lemma** *Ext-Elem*: *Ext is-Elem-of* = *explode*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Ext SpecialR Empty*  $\neq$  *explode* z

*<proof>*

**definition** *implode* :: ZF set  $\Rightarrow$  ZF **where**

*implode* == *inv explode*

**lemma** *inj-explode*: *inj explode*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *implode-explode[simp]*: *implode* (*explode* x) = x

*<proof>*

**definition** *regular* :: (ZF \* ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*regular* R == ! A. A  $\neq$  Empty  $\longrightarrow$  (? x. *Elem* x A & (! y. (y, x)  $\in$  R  $\longrightarrow$  Not (*Elem* y A)))

**definition** *set-like* :: (ZF \* ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*set-like* R == ! y. *Ext* R y  $\in$  range *explode*

**definition** *wfzf* :: (ZF \* ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*wfzf* R == *regular* R & *set-like* R

**lemma** *regular-Elem*: *regular is-Elem-of*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *set-like-Elem*: *set-like is-Elem-of*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *wfzf-is-Elem-of*: *wfzf is-Elem-of*

*<proof>*

**definition** *SeqSum* :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  ZF)  $\Rightarrow$  ZF **where**

*SeqSum* f == Sum (Repl Nat (f o Nat2nat))

**lemma** *SeqSum*: *Elem* x (*SeqSum* f) = (? n. *Elem* x (f n))

*<proof>*

**definition** *Ext-ZF* :: (ZF \* ZF) set  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF **where**

*Ext-ZF* R s == *implode* (*Ext* R s)

**lemma** *Elem-implode*: A  $\in$  range *explode*  $\implies$  *Elem* x (*implode* A) = (x  $\in$  A)

*<proof>*



**lemma** *Elem-Ext-ZF*:  $set-like\ R \implies Elem\ x\ (Ext-ZF\ R\ s) = ((x,s) \in R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**consts**

$Ext-ZF-n :: (ZF * ZF)\ set \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ZF$

**primrec**

$Ext-ZF-n\ R\ s\ 0 = Ext-ZF\ R\ s$

$Ext-ZF-n\ R\ s\ (Suc\ n) = Sum\ (Repl\ (Ext-ZF-n\ R\ s\ n)\ (Ext-ZF\ R))$

**definition** *Ext-ZF-hull* ::  $(ZF * ZF)\ set \Rightarrow ZF \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**

$Ext-ZF-hull\ R\ s == SeqSum\ (Ext-ZF-n\ R\ s)$

**lemma** *Elem-Ext-ZF-hull*:

**assumes** *set-like-R*:  $set-like\ R$

**shows**  $Elem\ x\ (Ext-ZF-hull\ R\ S) = (?\ n.\ Elem\ x\ (Ext-ZF-n\ R\ S\ n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Elem-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull*:

**assumes** *set-like-R*:  $set-like\ R$

**and** *x-hull*:  $Elem\ x\ (Ext-ZF-hull\ R\ S)$

**and** *y-R-x*:  $(y, x) \in R$

**shows**  $Elem\ y\ (Ext-ZF-hull\ R\ S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wfzf-minimal*:

**assumes** *hyp*s:  $wfzf\ R\ C \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\exists x. x \in C \wedge (\forall y. (y, x) \in R \longrightarrow y \notin C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wfzf-implies-wf*:  $wfzf\ R \implies wf\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-is-Elem-of*:  $wf\ is-Elem-of$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-Ext-RTrans-implies-Elem-Ext-ZF-hull*:

$set-like\ R \implies x \in (Ext\ (R^+)\ s) \implies Elem\ x\ (Ext-ZF-hull\ R\ s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *implodeable-Ext-trancl*:  $set-like\ R \implies set-like\ (R^+)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Elem-Ext-ZF-hull-implies-in-Ext-RTrans*[*rule-format*]:

$set-like\ R \implies ! x. Elem\ x\ (Ext-ZF-n\ R\ s\ n) \longrightarrow x \in (Ext\ (R^+)\ s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $set-like\ R \implies Ext-ZF\ (R^+)\ s = Ext-ZF-hull\ R\ s$

```

    <proof>

lemma wf-implies-regular: wf R  $\implies$  regular R
    <proof>

lemma wf-eq-wfzf: (wf R  $\wedge$  set-like R) = wfzf R
    <proof>

lemma wfzf-trancl: wfzf R  $\implies$  wfzf (R+)
    <proof>

lemma Ext-subset-mono: R  $\subseteq$  S  $\implies$  Ext R y  $\subseteq$  Ext S y
    <proof>

lemma set-like-subset: set-like R  $\implies$  S  $\subseteq$  R  $\implies$  set-like S
    <proof>

lemma wfzf-subset: wfzf S  $\implies$  R  $\subseteq$  S  $\implies$  wfzf R
    <proof>

end


theory Zet
imports HOLZF
begin

typedef 'a zet = {A :: 'a set | A f z. inj-on f A  $\wedge$  f ' A  $\subseteq$  explode z}
    <proof>

definition zin :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a zet  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    zin x A == x  $\in$  (Rep-zet A)

lemma zet-ext-eq: (A = B) = (! x. zin x A = zin x B)
    <proof>

definition zimage :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a zet  $\Rightarrow$  'b zet where
    zimage f A == Abs-zet (image f (Rep-zet A))

lemma zet-def': zet = {A :: 'a set | A f z. inj-on f A  $\wedge$  f ' A = explode z}
    <proof>

lemma image-zet-rep: A  $\in$  zet  $\implies$  ? z . g ' A = explode z
    <proof>

lemma zet-image-mem:
    assumes Azet: A  $\in$  zet
    shows g ' A  $\in$  zet

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Rep-zimage-eq*:  $\text{Rep-zet } (\text{zimage } f \ A) = \text{image } f \ (\text{Rep-zet } A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zimage-iff*:  $\text{zin } y \ (\text{zimage } f \ A) = (\ ? \ x. \ \text{zin } x \ A \ \& \ y = f \ x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *zimplode* ::  $ZF \ \text{zet} \Rightarrow ZF$  **where**  
 $\text{zimplode } A == \text{implode } (\text{Rep-zet } A)$

**definition** *zexplode* ::  $ZF \Rightarrow ZF \ \text{zet}$  **where**  
 $\text{zexplode } z == \text{Abs-zet } (\text{explode } z)$

**lemma** *Rep-zet-eq-explode*:  $\ ? \ z. \ \text{Rep-zet } A = \text{explode } z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zexplode-zimplode*:  $\text{zexplode } (\text{zimplode } A) = A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *explode-mem-zet*:  $\text{explode } z \in \text{zet}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zimplode-zexplode*:  $\text{zimplode } (\text{zexplode } z) = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zin-zexplode-eq*:  $\text{zin } x \ (\text{zexplode } A) = \text{Elem } x \ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-zimage-eq*:  $\text{zimage } g \ (\text{zimage } f \ A) = \text{zimage } (g \ o \ f) \ A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *zunion* ::  $'a \ \text{zet} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{zet} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{zet}$  **where**  
 $\text{zunion } a \ b \equiv \text{Abs-zet } ((\text{Rep-zet } a) \cup (\text{Rep-zet } b))$

**definition** *zsubset* ::  $'a \ \text{zet} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{zet} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{zsubset } a \ b \equiv ! \ x. \ \text{zin } x \ a \longrightarrow \text{zin } x \ b$

**lemma** *explode-union*:  $\text{explode } (\text{union } a \ b) = (\text{explode } a) \cup (\text{explode } b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Rep-zet-zunion*:  $\text{Rep-zet } (\text{zunion } a \ b) = (\text{Rep-zet } a) \cup (\text{Rep-zet } b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zunion*:  $\text{zin } x \ (\text{zunion } a \ b) = ((\text{zin } x \ a) \vee (\text{zin } x \ b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zimage-zexplode-eq*:  $\text{zimage } f \ (\text{zexplode } z) = \text{zexplode } (\text{Repl } z \ f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *range-explode-eq-zet*: *range explode = zet*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *Elem-zimplode*: *(Elem x (zimplode z)) = (zin x z)*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**definition** *zempty* :: 'a *zet* **where**  
*zempty* ≡ *Abs-zet* {}

**lemma** *zempty[simp]*:  $\neg (zin\ x\ zempty)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *zimage-zempty[simp]*: *zimage f zempty = zempty*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *zunion-zempty-left[simp]*: *zunion zempty a = a*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *zunion-zempty-right[simp]*: *zunion a zempty = a*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *zimage-id[simp]*: *zimage id A = A*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *zimage-cong[recdef-cong, fundef-cong]*:  $\llbracket M = N; !!\ x.\ zin\ x\ N \implies f\ x = g\ x \rrbracket \implies zimage\ f\ M = zimage\ g\ N$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

## 1 (Finite) multisets

**theory** *Multiset*  
**imports** *Main*  
**begin**

### 1.1 The type of multisets

**typedef** 'a *multiset* = {*f* :: 'a => nat. finite {*x*. *f* *x* > 0}}  
**morphisms** *count Abs-multiset*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemmas** *multiset-typedef* = *Abs-multiset-inverse count-inverse count*

**abbreviation** *Melem* :: 'a => 'a *multiset* => bool ((-/ :# -) [50, 51] 50) **where**  
*a* :# *M* == 0 < count *M* *a*

**notation** (*xsymbols*)  
*Melem* (**infix**  $\in\#$  50)

**lemma** *multiset-ext-iff*:  
 $M = N \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{count } M \ a = \text{count } N \ a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-ext*:  
 $(\bigwedge x. \text{count } A \ x = \text{count } B \ x) \implies A = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Preservation of the representing set *multiset*.

**lemma** *const0-in-multiset*:  
 $(\lambda a. 0) \in \text{multiset}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *only1-in-multiset*:  
 $(\lambda b. \text{if } b = a \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0) \in \text{multiset}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *union-preserves-multiset*:  
 $M \in \text{multiset} \implies N \in \text{multiset} \implies (\lambda a. M \ a + N \ a) \in \text{multiset}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-preserves-multiset*:  
**assumes**  $M \in \text{multiset}$   
**shows**  $(\lambda a. M \ a - N \ a) \in \text{multiset}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *MCollect-preserves-multiset*:  
**assumes**  $M \in \text{multiset}$   
**shows**  $(\lambda x. \text{if } P \ x \text{ then } M \ x \text{ else } 0) \in \text{multiset}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *in-multiset = const0-in-multiset only1-in-multiset*  
*union-preserves-multiset diff-preserves-multiset MCollect-preserves-multiset*

## 1.2 Representing multisets

Multiset comprehension

**definition** *MCollect* ::  $'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset}$  **where**  
 $MCollect \ M \ P = \text{Abs-multiset } (\lambda x. \text{if } P \ x \text{ then count } M \ x \text{ else } 0)$

**syntax**  
 $\text{-}MCollect :: ptnr \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset} \quad ((1\{\# \ - : \# \ - / \ - \# \}))$

**translations**  
 $\{\# x : \# \ M. \ P \# \} == \text{CONST } MCollect \ M \ (\lambda x. \ P)$

Multiset enumeration

**instantiation** *multiset* :: (type) {zero, plus}  
**begin**

**definition** *Mempty-def*:  
 $0 = \text{Abs-multiset } (\lambda a. 0)$

**abbreviation** *Mempty* :: 'a multiset ({#}) **where**  
 $\text{Mempty} \equiv 0$

**definition** *union-def*:  
 $M + N = \text{Abs-multiset } (\lambda a. \text{count } M \ a + \text{count } N \ a)$

**instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**definition** *single* :: 'a => 'a multiset **where**  
 $\text{single } a = \text{Abs-multiset } (\lambda b. \text{if } b = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

**syntax**  
 $\text{-multiset} :: \text{args} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset} \quad (\{ \#(-) \# \})$

**translations**  
 $\{ \#x, xs \# \} == \{ \#x \# \} + \{ \#xs \# \}$   
 $\{ \#x \# \} == \text{CONST } \text{single } x$

**lemma** *count-empty [simp]*:  $\text{count } \{ \# \} \ a = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *count-single [simp]*:  $\text{count } \{ \#b \# \} \ a = (\text{if } b = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.3 Basic operations

#### 1.3.1 Union

**lemma** *count-union [simp]*:  $\text{count } (M + N) \ a = \text{count } M \ a + \text{count } N \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**instance** *multiset* :: (type) *cancel-comm-monoid-add*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 1.3.2 Difference

**instantiation** *multiset* :: (type) *minus*  
**begin**

**definition** *diff-def*:  
 $M - N = \text{Abs-multiset } (\lambda a. \text{count } M \ a - \text{count } N \ a)$

**instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *count-diff* [simp]:  $\text{count } (M - N) \ a = \text{count } M \ a - \text{count } N \ a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-empty* [simp]:  $M - \{\#\} = M \wedge \{\#\} - M = \{\#\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-cancel*[simp]:  $A - A = \{\#\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-union-cancelR* [simp]:  $M + N - N = (M::'a \text{ multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-union-cancelL* [simp]:  $N + M - N = (M::'a \text{ multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *insert-DiffM*:  
 $x \in \# \ M \implies \{\#x\# \} + (M - \{\#x\# \}) = M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *insert-DiffM2* [simp]:  
 $x \in \# \ M \implies M - \{\#x\# \} + \{\#x\# \} = M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-right-commute*:  
 $(M::'a \text{ multiset}) - N - Q = M - Q - N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-add*:  
 $(M::'a \text{ multiset}) - (N + Q) = M - N - Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-union-swap*:  
 $a \neq b \implies M - \{\#a\# \} + \{\#b\# \} = M + \{\#b\# \} - \{\#a\# \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-union-single-conv*:  
 $a \in \# \ J \implies I + J - \{\#a\# \} = I + (J - \{\#a\# \})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.3.3 Equality of multisets

**lemma** *single-not-empty* [simp]:  $\{\#a\# \} \neq \{\#\} \wedge \{\#\} \neq \{\#a\# \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-eq-single* [simp]:  $\{\#a\# \} = \{\#b\# \} \longleftrightarrow a = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *union-eq-empty* [iff]:  $M + N = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\} \wedge N = \{\#\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *empty-eq-union* [iff]:  $\{\#\} = M + N \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\} \wedge N = \{\#\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multi-self-add-other-not-self* [simp]:  $M = M + \{\#x\# \} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *diff-single-trivial*:  
 $\neg x \in \# M \implies M - \{\#x\# \} = M$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *diff-single-eq-union*:  
 $x \in \# M \implies M - \{\#x\# \} = N \longleftrightarrow M = N + \{\#x\# \}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *union-single-eq-diff*:  
 $M + \{\#x\# \} = N \implies M = N - \{\#x\# \}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *union-single-eq-member*:  
 $M + \{\#x\# \} = N \implies x \in \# N$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *union-is-single*:  
 $M + N = \{\#a\# \} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#a\# \} \wedge N = \{\#\} \vee M = \{\#\} \wedge N = \{\#a\# \}$  (is  
 ?lhs = ?rhs)⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *single-is-union*:  
 $\{\#a\# \} = M + N \longleftrightarrow \{\#a\# \} = M \wedge N = \{\#\} \vee M = \{\#\} \wedge \{\#a\# \} = N$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *add-eq-conv-diff*:  
 $M + \{\#a\# \} = N + \{\#b\# \} \longleftrightarrow M = N \wedge a = b \vee M = N - \{\#a\# \} + \{\#b\# \} \wedge N = M - \{\#b\# \} + \{\#a\# \}$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *insert-noteq-member*:  
 assumes  $BC: B + \{\#b\# \} = C + \{\#c\# \}$   
 and  $bnotc: b \neq c$   
 shows  $c \in \# B$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *add-eq-conv-ex*:  
 $(M + \{\#a\# \} = N + \{\#b\# \}) =$   
 $(M = N \wedge a = b \vee (\exists K. M = K + \{\#b\# \} \wedge N = K + \{\#a\# \}))$   
 ⟨proof⟩



### 1.3.4 Pointwise ordering induced by count

**instantiation** *multiset* :: (type) ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le  
**begin**

**definition** *less-eq-multiset* :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*mset-le-def*:  $A \leq B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{count } A \ a \leq \text{count } B \ a)$

**definition** *less-multiset* :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*mset-less-def*:  $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) < B \longleftrightarrow A \leq B \wedge A \neq B$

**instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *mset-less-eqI*:  
 $(\bigwedge x. \text{count } A \ x \leq \text{count } B \ x) \Longrightarrow A \leq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-exists-conv*:  
 $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) \leq B \longleftrightarrow (\exists C. B = A + C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-mono-add-right-cancel* [simp]:  
 $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) + C \leq B + C \longleftrightarrow A \leq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-mono-add-left-cancel* [simp]:  
 $C + (A::'a \text{ multiset}) \leq C + B \longleftrightarrow A \leq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-mono-add*:  
 $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) \leq B \Longrightarrow C \leq D \Longrightarrow A + C \leq B + D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-add-left* [simp]:  
 $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) \leq A + B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-add-right* [simp]:  
 $B \leq (A::'a \text{ multiset}) + B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-single*:  
 $a : \# B \Longrightarrow \{\#a\# \} \leq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-diff-union-assoc*:  
 $C \leq B \Longrightarrow (A::'a \text{ multiset}) + B - C = A + (B - C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-multiset-union-diff-commute*:

$B \leq A \implies (A :: 'a \text{ multiset}) - B + C = A + C - B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-lessD*:  $A < B \implies x \in\# A \implies x \in\# B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-leD*:  $A \leq B \implies x \in\# A \implies x \in\# B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-insertD*:  $(A + \{\#x\} < B) \implies (x \in\# B \wedge A < B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-le-insertD*:  $(A + \{\#x\} \leq B) \implies (x \in\# B \wedge A \leq B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-of-empty[simp]*:  $A < \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-psub-of-add-self[simp]*:  $A < A + \{\#x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-psub-self[simp]*:  $(A :: 'a \text{ multiset}) < A = \text{False}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-add-bothsides*:  
 $T + \{\#x\} < S + \{\#x\} \implies T < S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-empty-nonempty*:  
 $\{\#\} < S \longleftrightarrow S \neq \{\#\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-diff-self*:  
 $c \in\# B \implies B - \{\#c\} < B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.3.5 Intersection

**instantiation** *multiset* :: (type) *semilattice-inf*  
**begin**

**definition** *inf-multiset* :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset **where**  
*multiset-inter-def*:  $\text{inf-multiset } A \ B = A - (A - B)$

**instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**abbreviation** *multiset-inter* :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset (**infixl**  $\# \cap$  70) **where**  
*multiset-inter*  $\equiv$  *inf*

**lemma** *multiset-inter-count*:  
 $\text{count } (A \# \cap B) \ x = \min (\text{count } A \ x) (\text{count } B \ x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-inter-single*:  $a \neq b \implies \{\#a\# \} \# \cap \{\#b\# \} = \{\#\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-union-diff-commute*:  
**assumes**  $B \# \cap C = \{\#\}$   
**shows**  $A + B - C = A - C + B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.3.6 Comprehension (filter)

**lemma** *count-MCollect [simp]*:  
 $\text{count } \{\# \ x : \# M. P \ x \ \#\} \ a = (\text{if } P \ a \ \text{then } \text{count } M \ a \ \text{else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *MCollect-empty [simp]*:  $MCollect \ \{\#\} \ P = \{\#\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *MCollect-single [simp]*:  
 $MCollect \ \{\#x\# \} \ P = (\text{if } P \ x \ \text{then } \{\#x\# \} \ \text{else } \{\#\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *MCollect-union [simp]*:  
 $MCollect \ (M + N) \ f = MCollect \ M \ f + MCollect \ N \ f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.3.7 Set of elements

**definition** *set-of* :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
*set-of*  $M = \{x. \ x : \# M\}$

**lemma** *set-of-empty [simp]*:  $\text{set-of } \{\#\} = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-single [simp]*:  $\text{set-of } \{\#b\# \} = \{b\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-union [simp]*:  $\text{set-of } (M + N) = \text{set-of } M \cup \text{set-of } N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-eq-empty-iff [simp]*:  $(\text{set-of } M = \{\}) = (M = \{\#\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-set-of-iff* [simp]:  $(x \in \text{set-of } M) = (x : \# M)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-of-MCollect* [simp]:  $\text{set-of } \{\# x : \# M. P x \# \} = \text{set-of } M \cap \{x. P x\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *finite-set-of* [iff]:  $\text{finite } (\text{set-of } M)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

### 1.3.8 Size

**instantiation** *multiset* :: (type) size  
**begin**

**definition** *size-def*:  
 $\text{size } M = \text{setsum } (\text{count } M) (\text{set-of } M)$

**instance** ⟨proof⟩

**end**

**lemma** *size-empty* [simp]:  $\text{size } \{\# \} = 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size-single* [simp]:  $\text{size } \{\# b \# \} = 1$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *setsum-count-Int*:  
 $\text{finite } A ==> \text{setsum } (\text{count } N) (A \cap \text{set-of } N) = \text{setsum } (\text{count } N) A$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size-union* [simp]:  $\text{size } (M + N :: 'a \text{ multiset}) = \text{size } M + \text{size } N$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size-eq-0-iff-empty* [iff]:  $(\text{size } M = 0) = (M = \{\# \})$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *nonempty-has-size*:  $(S \neq \{\# \}) = (0 < \text{size } S)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size-eq-Suc-imp-elim*:  $\text{size } M = \text{Suc } n ==> \exists a. a : \# M$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *size-eq-Suc-imp-eq-union*:  
**assumes**  $\text{size } M = \text{Suc } n$   
**shows**  $\exists a N. M = N + \{\# a \# \}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 1.4 Induction and case splits

**lemma** *setsum-decr*:

$finite\ F ==> (0::nat) < f\ a ==>$   
 $setsum\ (f\ (a := f\ a - 1))\ F = (if\ a \in F\ then\ setsum\ f\ F - 1\ else\ setsum\ f\ F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rep-multiset-induct-aux*:

**assumes**  $1: P\ (\lambda a. (0::nat))$   
**and**  $2: !!f\ b. f \in multiset ==> P\ f ==> P\ (f\ (b := f\ b + 1))$   
**shows**  $\forall f. f \in multiset --> setsum\ f\ \{x. f\ x \neq 0\} = n --> P\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *rep-multiset-induct*:

$f \in multiset ==> P\ (\lambda a. 0) ==>$   
 $(!!f\ b. f \in multiset ==> P\ f ==> P\ (f\ (b := f\ b + 1))) ==> P\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *multiset-induct* [*case-names empty add, induct type: multiset*]:

**assumes** *empty*:  $P\ \{\#\}$   
**and** *add*:  $!!M\ x. P\ M ==> P\ (M + \{\#x\# \})$   
**shows**  $P\ M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-nonempty-split*:  $M \neq \{\#\} \implies \exists A\ a. M = A + \{\#a\# \}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-cases* [*cases type, case-names empty add*]:

**assumes** *em*:  $M = \{\#\} \implies P$   
**assumes** *add*:  $\bigwedge N\ x. M = N + \{\#x\# \} \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-member-split*:  $x \in\# M \implies \exists A. M = A + \{\#x\# \}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-drop-mem-not-eq*:  $c \in\# B \implies B - \{\#c\# \} \neq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-partition*:  $M = \{\# x:\#M. P\ x\ \#\} + \{\# x:\#M. \neg P\ x\ \#\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-size*:  $(A::'a\ multiset) < B \implies size\ A < size\ B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.4.1 Strong induction and subset induction for multisets

Well-foundedness of proper subset operator:

proper multiset subset

**definition**

$mset-less-rel :: ('a\ multiset * 'a\ multiset)\ set$  **where**  
 $mset-less-rel = \{(A,B). A < B\}$

**lemma** *multiset-add-sub-el-shuffle*:

**assumes**  $c \in\# B$  **and**  $b \neq c$

**shows**  $B - \{\#c\} + \{\#b\} = B + \{\#b\} - \{\#c\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-mset-less-rel*: *wf mset-less-rel*

$\langle proof \rangle$

The induction rules:

**lemma** *full-multiset-induct* [*case-names less*]:

**assumes** *ih*:  $\bigwedge B. \forall (A::'a\ multiset). A < B \longrightarrow P\ A \Longrightarrow P\ B$

**shows**  $P\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-subset-induct* [*consumes 2, case-names empty add*]:

**assumes**  $F \leq A$

**and** *empty*:  $P\ \{\#\}$

**and** *insert*:  $\bigwedge a\ F. a \in\# A \Longrightarrow P\ F \Longrightarrow P\ (F + \{\#a\})$

**shows**  $P\ F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.5 Alternative representations

### 1.5.1 Lists

**primrec** *multiset-of* ::  $'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset$  **where**

*multiset-of*  $[] = \{\#\}$  |

*multiset-of*  $(a\ \# x) = multiset-of\ x + \{\# a\}$

**lemma** *in-multiset-in-set*:

$x \in\# multiset-of\ xs \longleftrightarrow x \in set\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *count-multiset-of*:

$count\ (multiset-of\ xs)\ x = length\ (filter\ (\lambda y. x = y)\ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-of-zero-iff*[*simp*]:  $(multiset-of\ x = \{\#\}) = (x = [])$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-of-zero-iff-right*[*simp*]:  $(\{\#\} = multiset-of\ x) = (x = [])$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set-of-multiset-of*[*simp*]:  $set-of\ (multiset-of\ x) = set\ x$ 

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mem-set-multiset-eq*:  $x \in \text{set } xs = (x : \# \text{ multiset-of } xs)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-append* [simp]:  
 $\text{multiset-of } (xs @ ys) = \text{multiset-of } xs + \text{multiset-of } ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *surj-multiset-of*: *surj multiset-of*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-count-greater-0*:  $\text{set } x = \{a. \text{count } (\text{multiset-of } x) \ a > 0\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *distinct-count-atmost-1*:  
 $\text{distinct } x = (! \ a. \text{count } (\text{multiset-of } x) \ a = (\text{if } a \in \text{set } x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-eq-setD*:  
 $\text{multiset-of } xs = \text{multiset-of } ys \implies \text{set } xs = \text{set } ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-eq-iff-multiset-of-eq-distinct*:  
 $\text{distinct } x \implies \text{distinct } y \implies$   
 $(\text{set } x = \text{set } y) = (\text{multiset-of } x = \text{multiset-of } y)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-eq-iff-multiset-of-remdups-eq*:  
 $(\text{set } x = \text{set } y) = (\text{multiset-of } (\text{remdups } x) = \text{multiset-of } (\text{remdups } y))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-compl-union* [simp]:  
 $\text{multiset-of } [x \leftarrow xs. P \ x] + \text{multiset-of } [x \leftarrow xs. \neg P \ x] = \text{multiset-of } xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *count-filter*:  
 $\text{count } (\text{multiset-of } xs) \ x = \text{length } [y \leftarrow xs. y = x]$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *nth-mem-multiset-of*:  $i < \text{length } ls \implies (ls ! i) : \# \text{ multiset-of } ls$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-remove1* [simp]:  
 $\text{multiset-of } (\text{remove1 } a \ xs) = \text{multiset-of } xs - \{\#a\# \}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-eq-length*:  
 assumes  $\text{multiset-of } xs = \text{multiset-of } ys$   
 shows  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in linorder) *multiset-of-insort* [simp]:  
 $\text{multiset-of } (\text{insort } x \text{ } xs) = \{\#x\# \} + \text{multiset-of } xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in linorder) *multiset-of-sort* [simp]:  
 $\text{multiset-of } (\text{sort } xs) = \text{multiset-of } xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

This lemma shows which properties suffice to show that a function  $f$  with  $f \text{ } xs = ys$  behaves like sort.

**lemma** (in linorder) *properties-for-sort*:  
 $\text{multiset-of } ys = \text{multiset-of } xs \implies \text{sorted } ys \implies \text{sort } xs = ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-remdups-le*:  $\text{multiset-of } (\text{remdups } xs) \leq \text{multiset-of } xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-update*:  
 $i < \text{length } ls \implies \text{multiset-of } (ls[i := v]) = \text{multiset-of } ls - \{\#ls ! i\# \} + \{\#v\# \}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *multiset-of-swap*:  
 $i < \text{length } ls \implies j < \text{length } ls \implies$   
 $\text{multiset-of } (ls[j := ls ! i, i := ls ! j]) = \text{multiset-of } ls$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 1.5.2 Association lists – including rudimentary code generation

**definition** *count-of* ::  $('a \times \text{nat}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **where**  
 $\text{count-of } xs \text{ } x = (\text{case map-of } xs \text{ } x \text{ of None} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Some } n \Rightarrow n)$

**lemma** *count-of-multiset*:  
 $\text{count-of } xs \in \text{multiset}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *count-simps* [simp]:  
 $\text{count-of } [] = (\lambda \_. 0)$   
 $\text{count-of } ((x, n) \# xs) = (\lambda y. \text{if } x = y \text{ then } n \text{ else count-of } xs \text{ } y)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *count-of-empty*:  
 $x \notin \text{fst } \text{' set } xs \implies \text{count-of } xs \text{ } x = 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *count-of-filter*:  
 $\text{count-of } (\text{filter } (P \circ \text{fst}) \text{ } xs) \text{ } x = (\text{if } P \text{ } x \text{ then count-of } xs \text{ } x \text{ else } 0)$   
 ⟨proof⟩



**definition**  $Bag :: ('a \times nat) list \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset}$  **where**  
 $Bag\ xs = Abs\text{-multiset}\ (count\text{-of}\ xs)$

**code-datatype**  $Bag$

**lemma**  $count\text{-}Bag$   $[simp, code]$ :  
 $count\ (Bag\ xs) = count\text{-of}\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Mempty\text{-}Bag$   $[code]$ :  
 $\{\#\} = Bag\ []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $single\text{-}Bag$   $[code]$ :  
 $\{\#x\# \} = Bag\ [(x, 1)]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $MCollect\text{-}Bag$   $[code]$ :  
 $MCollect\ (Bag\ xs)\ P = Bag\ (filter\ (P \circ fst)\ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mset\text{-}less\text{-}eq\text{-}Bag$   $[code]$ :  
 $Bag\ xs \leq A \longleftrightarrow (\forall (x, n) \in set\ xs. count\text{-of}\ xs\ x \leq count\ A\ x)$   
 $(is\ ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instantiation**  $multiset :: (eq) eq$   
**begin**

**definition**  
 $HOL.eq\ A\ B \longleftrightarrow (A :: 'a \text{ multiset}) \leq B \wedge B \leq A$

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**definition**  $(in\ term\text{-}syntax)$   
 $bagify :: ('a :: typerep \times nat) list \times (unit \Rightarrow Code\text{-}Evaluation.term)$   
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset} \times (unit \Rightarrow Code\text{-}Evaluation.term)$  **where**  
 $[code\text{-}unfold]: bagify\ xs = Code\text{-}Evaluation.valtermify\ Bag\ \{\cdot\}\ xs$

**notation**  $fcomp$   $(infixl\ o > 60)$   
**notation**  $scomp$   $(infixl\ o \rightarrow 60)$

**instantiation**  $multiset :: (random) random$   
**begin**

**definition**  
 $Quickcheck.random\ i = Quickcheck.random\ i\ o \rightarrow (\lambda xs. Pair\ (bagify\ xs))$

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**no-notation**  $fcomp$  (**infixl**  $o > 60$ )

**no-notation**  $scomp$  (**infixl**  $o \rightarrow 60$ )

**hide-const** (**open**)  $bagify$

## 1.6 The multiset order

### 1.6.1 Well-foundedness

**definition**  $mult1 :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow ('a multiset \times 'a multiset) set$  **where**  
 $[code\ del]: mult1\ r = \{(N, M). \exists a\ M0\ K. M = M0 + \{\#a\#\} \wedge N = M0 + K$   
 $\wedge$   
 $(\forall b. b : \# K \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r)\}$

**definition**  $mult :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow ('a multiset \times 'a multiset) set$  **where**  
 $[code\ del]: mult\ r = (mult1\ r)^+$

**lemma**  $not-less-empty$   $[iff]: (M, \{\#\}) \notin mult1\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $less-add: (N, M0 + \{\#a\#\}) \in mult1\ r \implies$   
 $(\exists M. (M, M0) \in mult1\ r \wedge N = M + \{\#a\#\}) \vee$   
 $(\exists K. (\forall b. b : \# K \longrightarrow (b, a) \in r) \wedge N = M0 + K)$   
 $(is \implies ?case1\ (mult1\ r) \vee ?case2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $all-accessible: wf\ r \implies \forall M. M \in acc\ (mult1\ r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $wf-mult1: wf\ r \implies wf\ (mult1\ r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $wf-mult: wf\ r \implies wf\ (mult\ r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.6.2 Closure-free presentation

One direction.

**lemma**  $mult-implies-one-step:$   
 $trans\ r \implies (M, N) \in mult\ r \implies$   
 $\exists I\ J\ K. N = I + J \wedge M = I + K \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge$   
 $(\forall k \in set-of\ K. \exists j \in set-of\ J. (k, j) \in r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *one-step-implies-mult-aux*:

*trans*  $r \implies$   
 $\forall I J K. (size\ J = n \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall k \in set-of\ K. \exists j \in set-of\ J. (k, j) \in r))$   
 $\implies (I + K, I + J) \in mult\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *one-step-implies-mult*:

*trans*  $r \implies J \neq \{\#\} \implies \forall k \in set-of\ K. \exists j \in set-of\ J. (k, j) \in r$   
 $\implies (I + K, I + J) \in mult\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.6.3 Partial-order properties

**definition** *less-multiset* :: *'a::order multiset*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a multiset*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $<\#$  50)  
**where**

$M' <\# M \longleftrightarrow (M', M) \in mult\ \{(x', x). x' < x\}$

**definition** *le-multiset* :: *'a::order multiset*  $\Rightarrow$  *'a multiset*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\leq\#$  50)  
**where**

$M' \leq\# M \longleftrightarrow M' <\# M \vee M' = M$

**notation** (*xsymbols*) *less-multiset* (**infix**  $\subset\#$  50)

**notation** (*xsymbols*) *le-multiset* (**infix**  $\subseteq\#$  50)

**interpretation** *multiset-order*: *order le-multiset less-multiset*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-less-irrefl* [*elim!*]:

$M \subset\# (M::'a::order\ multiset) \implies R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.6.4 Monotonicity of multiset union

**lemma** *mult1-union*:

$(B, D) \in mult1\ r \implies trans\ r \implies (C + B, C + D) \in mult1\ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *union-less-mono2*:  $B \subset\# D \implies C + B \subset\# C + (D::'a::order\ multiset)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *union-less-mono1*:  $B \subset\# D \implies B + C \subset\# D + (C::'a::order\ multiset)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *union-less-mono*:

$A \subset\# C \implies B \subset\# D \implies A + B \subset\# C + (D::'a::order\ multiset)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *multiset-order*: *ordered-ab-semigroup-add plus le-multiset less-multiset*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.7 The fold combinator

The intended behaviour is  $fold\text{-}mset\ f\ z\ \{\#x_1, \dots, x_n\} = f\ x_1\ (\dots (f\ x_n\ z)\dots)$  if  $f$  is associative-commutative.

The graph of  $fold\text{-}mset$ ,  $z$ : the start element,  $f$ : folding function,  $A$ : the multiset,  $y$ : the result.

**inductive**

$fold\text{-}msetG :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool$   
**for**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$   
**and**  $z :: 'b$

**where**

$emptyI\ [intro]: fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ \{\#\}\ z$   
 $| insertI\ [intro]: fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ y \Longrightarrow fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#x\})\ (f\ x\ y)$

**inductive-cases**  $empty\text{-}fold\text{-}msetGE\ [elim!]: fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ \{\#\}\ x$

**inductive-cases**  $insert\text{-}fold\text{-}msetGE: fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#\})\ y$

**definition**

$fold\text{-}mset :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'b$  **where**  
 $fold\text{-}mset\ f\ z\ A = (THE\ x.\ fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ x)$

**lemma**  $Diff1\text{-}fold\text{-}msetG$ :

$fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ (A - \{\#x\})\ y \Longrightarrow x \in \# A \Longrightarrow fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ (f\ x\ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $fold\text{-}msetG\text{-}nonempty$ :  $\exists x.\ fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $fold\text{-}mset\text{-}empty[simp]$ :  $fold\text{-}mset\ f\ z\ \{\#\} = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**context**  $fun\text{-}left\text{-}comm$

**begin**

**lemma**  $fold\text{-}msetG\text{-}determ$ :

$fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ x \Longrightarrow fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ y \Longrightarrow y = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $fold\text{-}mset\text{-}insert\text{-}aux$ :

$(fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#x\})\ v) =$   
 $(\exists y.\ fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ y \wedge v = f\ x\ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $fold\text{-}mset\text{-}equality$ :  $fold\text{-}msetG\ f\ z\ A\ y \Longrightarrow fold\text{-}mset\ f\ z\ A = y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $fold\text{-}mset\text{-}insert$ :

$fold\text{-}mset\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#x\}) = f\ x\ (fold\text{-}mset\ f\ z\ A)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fold-mset-insert-idem*:

$fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A + \{\#a\# \}) = f\ a\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ A)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fold-mset-commute*:  $f\ x\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ A) = fold-mset\ f\ (f\ x\ z)\ A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fold-mset-single [simp]*:  $fold-mset\ f\ z\ \{\#x\#\} = f\ x\ z$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fold-mset-union [simp]*:

$fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A+B) = fold-mset\ f\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ A)\ B$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fold-mset-fusion*:

**assumes** *fun-left-comm*  $g$

**shows**  $(\bigwedge x\ y. h\ (g\ x\ y) = f\ x\ (h\ y)) \implies h\ (fold-mset\ g\ w\ A) = fold-mset\ f\ (h\ w)\ A$  (**is** *PROP ?P*)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fold-mset-rec*:

**assumes**  $a \in \# A$

**shows**  $fold-mset\ f\ z\ A = f\ a\ (fold-mset\ f\ z\ (A - \{\#a\#\}))$

*<proof>*

**end**

A note on code generation: When defining some function containing a sub-term *fold-mset F*, code generation is not automatic. When interpreting locale *left-commutative* with *F*, the would be code thms for *fold-mset* become thms like  $fold-mset\ F\ z\ \{\#\} = z$  where *F* is not a pattern but contains defined symbols, i.e. is not a code thm. Hence a separate constant with its own code thms needs to be introduced for *F*. See the image operator below.

## 1.8 Image

**definition** *image-mset* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'b\ multiset$  **where**

$image-mset\ f = fold-mset\ (op + o\ single\ o\ f)\ \{\#\}$

**interpretation** *image-left-comm*: *fun-left-comm*  $op + o\ single\ o\ f$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *image-mset-empty [simp]*:  $image-mset\ f\ \{\#\} = \{\#\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *image-mset-single [simp]*:  $image-mset\ f\ \{\#x\#\} = \{\#f\ x\#\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *image-mset-insert*:

$image\text{-}mset\ f\ (M + \{\#a\}) = image\text{-}mset\ f\ M + \{\#f\ a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-mset-union* [simp]:

$image\text{-}mset\ f\ (M+N) = image\text{-}mset\ f\ M + image\text{-}mset\ f\ N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *size-image-mset* [simp]:  $size\ (image\text{-}mset\ f\ M) = size\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-mset-is-empty-iff* [simp]:  $image\text{-}mset\ f\ M = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**syntax**

$\text{-comprehension1-mset} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset$   
 $((\{\#-\/. - : \# -\#\}))$

**translations**

$\{\#e.\ x:\#M\#\} == CONST\ image\text{-}mset\ (\%x.\ e)\ M$

**syntax**

$\text{-comprehension2-mset} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b\ multiset \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset$   
 $((\{\#-\/. \mid - : \# -\/. -\#\}))$

**translations**

$\{\#e \mid x:\#M.\ P\#\} => \{\#e.\ x:\# \{\# x:\#M.\ P\#\}\#\}$

This allows to write not just filters like  $\{\# x:\# M.\ x < c\#\}$  but also images like  $\{\#x + x.\ x:\# M\#\}$  and  $\{\#x+x\mid x:\#M.\ x < c\#\}$ , where the latter is currently displayed as  $\{\#x + x.\ x:\# \{\# x:\# M.\ x < c\#\}\#\}$ .

## 1.9 Termination proofs with multiset orders

**lemma** *multi-member-skip*:  $x \in \# XS \Longrightarrow x \in \# \{\# y \#\} + XS$

**and** *multi-member-this*:  $x \in \# \{\# x \#\} + XS$

**and** *multi-member-last*:  $x \in \# \{\# x \#\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *ms-strict* = *mult pair-less*

**definition** [code del]: *ms-weak* = *ms-strict*  $\cup$  *Id*

**lemma** *ms-reduction-pair*: *reduction-pair* (*ms-strict*, *ms-weak*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *smsI*:

$(set\text{-}of\ A,\ set\text{-}of\ B) \in max\text{-}strict \Longrightarrow (Z + A,\ Z + B) \in ms\text{-}strict$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wmsI*:

$(\text{set-of } A, \text{set-of } B) \in \text{max-strict} \vee A = \{\#\} \wedge B = \{\#\}$   
 $\implies (Z + A, Z + B) \in \text{ms-weak}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**inductive** *pw-leq*

**where**

*pw-leq-empty*:  $\text{pw-leq } \{\#\} \ \{\#\}$   
*pw-leq-step*:  $\llbracket (x, y) \in \text{pair-leq}; \text{pw-leq } X \ Y \rrbracket \implies \text{pw-leq } (\{\#x\# \} + X) (\{\#y\# \} + Y)$

**lemma** *pw-leq-lstep*:

$(x, y) \in \text{pair-leq} \implies \text{pw-leq } \{\#x\# \} \ \{\#y\# \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pw-leq-split*:

**assumes** *pw-leq*  $X \ Y$   
**shows**  $\exists A \ B \ Z. X = A + Z \wedge Y = B + Z \wedge ((\text{set-of } A, \text{set-of } B) \in \text{max-strict} \vee (B = \{\#\} \wedge A = \{\#\}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**

**assumes** *pwleq*:  $\text{pw-leq } Z \ Z'$   
**shows** *ms-strictI*:  $(\text{set-of } A, \text{set-of } B) \in \text{max-strict} \implies (Z + A, Z' + B) \in \text{ms-strict}$   
**and** *ms-weakI1*:  $(\text{set-of } A, \text{set-of } B) \in \text{max-strict} \implies (Z + A, Z' + B) \in \text{ms-weak}$   
**and** *ms-weakI2*:  $(Z + \{\#\}, Z' + \{\#\}) \in \text{ms-weak}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-idemp*:  $\{\#\} + x = x \ x + \{\#\} = x$

**and** *nonempty-plus*:  $\{\# \ x \ \#\} + rs \neq \{\#\}$

**and** *nonempty-single*:  $\{\# \ x \ \#\} \neq \{\#\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

## 1.10 Legacy theorem bindings

**lemmas** *multi-count-eq* = *multiset-ext-iff* [*symmetric*]

**lemma** *union-commute*:  $M + N = N + (M::'a \text{ multiset})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *union-assoc*:  $(M + N) + K = M + (N + (K::'a \text{ multiset}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *union-lcomm*:  $M + (N + K) = N + (M + (K::'a \text{ multiset}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *union-ac = union-assoc union-commute union-lcomm*

**lemma** *union-right-cancel*:  $M + K = N + K \longleftrightarrow M = (N::'a \text{ multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *union-left-cancel*:  $K + M = K + N \longleftrightarrow M = (N::'a \text{ multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multi-union-self-other-eq*:  $(A::'a \text{ multiset}) + X = A + Y \implies X = Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mset-less-trans*:  $(M::'a \text{ multiset}) < K \implies K < N \implies M < N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-inter-commute*:  $A \# \cap B = B \# \cap A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-inter-assoc*:  $A \# \cap (B \# \cap C) = A \# \cap B \# \cap C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *multiset-inter-left-commute*:  $A \# \cap (B \# \cap C) = B \# \cap (A \# \cap C)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *multiset-inter-ac =*  
*multiset-inter-commute*  
*multiset-inter-assoc*  
*multiset-inter-left-commute*

**lemma** *mult-less-not-refl*:  
 $\neg M \subset \# (M::'a::\text{order multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-less-trans*:  
 $K \subset \# M \implies M \subset \# N \implies K \subset \# (N::'a::\text{order multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-less-not-sym*:  
 $M \subset \# N \implies \neg N \subset \# (M::'a::\text{order multiset})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-less-asy*:  
 $M \subset \# N \implies (\neg P \implies N \subset \# (M::'a::\text{order multiset})) \implies P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

**end**



```

theory LProd
imports Multiset
begin

inductive-set
  lprod :: ('a * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list * 'a list) set
  for R :: ('a * 'a) set
where
    lprod-single[intro!]:  $(a, b) \in R \Longrightarrow ([a], [b]) \in \text{lprod } R$ 
  | lprod-list[intro!]:  $(ah@at, bh@bt) \in \text{lprod } R \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in R \vee a = b \Longrightarrow (ah@a\#at,$ 
     $bh@b\#bt) \in \text{lprod } R$ 

lemma (as,bs)  $\in$  lprod R  $\Longrightarrow$  length as = length bs
  <proof>

lemma (as, bs)  $\in$  lprod R  $\Longrightarrow$  1  $\leq$  length as  $\wedge$  1  $\leq$  length bs
  <proof>

lemma lprod-subset-elem: (as, bs)  $\in$  lprod S  $\Longrightarrow$  S  $\subseteq$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  (as, bs)  $\in$  lprod R
  <proof>

lemma lprod-subset: S  $\subseteq$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  lprod S  $\subseteq$  lprod R
  <proof>

lemma lprod-implies-mult: (as, bs)  $\in$  lprod R  $\Longrightarrow$  trans R  $\Longrightarrow$  (multiset-of as,
  multiset-of bs)  $\in$  mult R
  <proof>

lemma wf-lprod[recdef-wf,simp,intro]:
  assumes wf-R: wf R
  shows wf (lprod R)
  <proof>

definition gprod-2-2 :: ('a * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  (('a * 'a) * ('a * 'a)) set where
  gprod-2-2 R  $\equiv$  { ((a,b), (c,d)) . (a = c  $\wedge$  (b,d)  $\in$  R)  $\vee$  (b = d  $\wedge$  (a,c)  $\in$  R) }

definition gprod-2-1 :: ('a * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  (('a * 'a) * ('a * 'a)) set where
  gprod-2-1 R  $\equiv$  { ((a,b), (c,d)) . (a = d  $\wedge$  (b,c)  $\in$  R)  $\vee$  (b = c  $\wedge$  (a,d)  $\in$  R) }

lemma lprod-2-3: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([a, c], [b, c])  $\in$  lprod R
  <proof>

lemma lprod-2-4: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([c, a], [c, b])  $\in$  lprod R
  <proof>

lemma lprod-2-1: (a, b)  $\in$  R  $\Longrightarrow$  ([c, a], [b, c])  $\in$  lprod R
  <proof>

```

**lemma** *lprod-2-2*:  $(a, b) \in R \implies ([a, c], [c, b]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** [*recdef-wf, simp, intro*]:  
**assumes** *wfR*: *wf* *R* **shows** *wf* (*gprod-2-1* *R*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** [*recdef-wf, simp, intro*]:  
**assumes** *wfR*: *wf* *R* **shows** *wf* (*gprod-2-2* *R*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-1*: **assumes**  $(x', x) \in R$  **shows**  $([y, z, x'], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-2*: **assumes**  $(z', z) \in R$  **shows**  $([z', x, y], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-3*: **assumes** *xr*:  $(xr, x) \in R$  **shows**  $([xr, y, z], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-4*: **assumes** *yr*:  $(yr, y) \in R$  **shows**  $([x, yr, z], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-5*: **assumes** *zr*:  $(zr, z) \in R$  **shows**  $([x, y, zr], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-6*: **assumes** *y'*:  $(y', y) \in R$  **shows**  $([x, z, y'], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lprod-3-7*: **assumes** *z'*:  $(z', z) \in R$  **shows**  $([x, z', y], [x, y, z]) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *perm* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{perm } f \ A \equiv \text{inj-on } f \ A \wedge f ` A = A$

**lemma**  $((as, bs) \in \text{lprod } R) =$   
 $(\exists f. \text{perm } f \ \{0 ..< (\text{length } as)\} \wedge$   
 $(\forall j. j < \text{length } as \longrightarrow ((\text{nth } as \ j, \text{nth } bs \ (f \ j)) \in R \vee (\text{nth } as \ j = \text{nth } bs \ (f \ j))))$   
 $\wedge$   
 $(\exists i. i < \text{length } as \wedge (\text{nth } as \ i, \text{nth } bs \ (f \ i)) \in R))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{trans } R \implies (ah@a\#at, bh@b\#bt) \in \text{lprod } R \implies (b, a) \in R \vee a = b \implies$   
 $(ah@at, bh@bt) \in \text{lprod } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

```

theory MainZF
imports Zet LProd
begin

```

```

end

```

```

theory Games
imports MainZF
begin

```

```

definition fixgames :: ZF set  $\Rightarrow$  ZF set where
  fixgames A  $\equiv \{ \text{Opair } l \ r \mid l \ r. \text{ explode } l \subseteq A \ \& \ \text{explode } r \subseteq A \}$ 

```

```

definition games-lfp :: ZF set where
  games-lfp  $\equiv \text{lfp } \text{fixgames}$ 

```

```

definition games-gfp :: ZF set where
  games-gfp  $\equiv \text{gfp } \text{fixgames}$ 

```

```

lemma mono-fixgames: mono (fixgames)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma games-lfp-unfold: games-lfp = fixgames games-lfp
  <proof>

```

```

lemma games-gfp-unfold: games-gfp = fixgames games-gfp
  <proof>

```

```

lemma games-lfp-nonempty: Opair Empty Empty  $\in$  games-lfp
  <proof>

```

```

definition left-option :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  left-option g opt  $\equiv (\text{Elem } \text{opt } (\text{Fst } g))$ 

```

```

definition right-option :: ZF  $\Rightarrow$  ZF  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  right-option g opt  $\equiv (\text{Elem } \text{opt } (\text{Snd } g))$ 

```

```

definition is-option-of :: (ZF * ZF) set where
  is-option-of  $\equiv \{ (opt, g) \mid \text{opt } g. \ g \in \text{games-gfp} \wedge (\text{left-option } g \ \text{opt} \vee \text{right-option } g \ \text{opt}) \}$ 

```

```

lemma games-lfp-subset-gfp: games-lfp  $\subseteq$  games-gfp
  <proof>

```

```

lemma games-option-stable:
  assumes fixgames: games = fixgames games

```

**and**  $g: g \in \text{games}$   
**and**  $\text{opt}: \text{left-option } g \text{ opt} \vee \text{right-option } g \text{ opt}$   
**shows**  $\text{opt} \in \text{games}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{option2elem}: (\text{opt}, g) \in \text{is-option-of} \implies \exists u v. \text{Elem } \text{opt } u \wedge \text{Elem } u v \wedge \text{Elem } v g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{is-option-of-subset-is-Elem-of}: \text{is-option-of} \subseteq (\text{is-Elem-of}^+)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{wfzf-is-option-of}: \text{wfzf } \text{is-option-of}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{games-gfp-imp-lfp}: g \in \text{games-gfp} \longrightarrow g \in \text{games-lfp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem**  $\text{games-lfp-eq-gfp}: \text{games-lfp} = \text{games-gfp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem**  $\text{unique-games}: (g = \text{fixgames } g) = (g = \text{games-lfp})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{games-lfp-option-stable}$ :  
**assumes**  $g: g \in \text{games-lfp}$   
**and**  $\text{opt}: \text{left-option } g \text{ opt} \vee \text{right-option } g \text{ opt}$   
**shows**  $\text{opt} \in \text{games-lfp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{is-option-of-imp-games}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{hyp}: (\text{opt}, g) \in \text{is-option-of}$   
**shows**  $\text{opt} \in \text{games-lfp} \wedge g \in \text{games-lfp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{games-lfp-represent}: x \in \text{games-lfp} \implies \exists l r. x = \text{Opair } l r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**typedef**  $\text{game} = \text{games-lfp}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{left-options} :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{game } \text{zet}$  **where**  
 $\text{left-options } g \equiv \text{zimage } \text{Abs-game } (\text{zerplode } (\text{Fst } (\text{Rep-game } g)))$

**definition**  $\text{right-options} :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{game } \text{zet}$  **where**  
 $\text{right-options } g \equiv \text{zimage } \text{Abs-game } (\text{zerplode } (\text{Snd } (\text{Rep-game } g)))$

**definition**  $\text{options} :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{game } \text{zet}$  **where**  
 $\text{options } g \equiv \text{zunion } (\text{left-options } g) (\text{right-options } g)$

**definition** *Game* :: *game* *zet*  $\Rightarrow$  *game* *zet*  $\Rightarrow$  *game* **where**

*Game* *L R*  $\equiv$  *Abs-game* (*Opair* (*zimplode* (*zimage* *Rep-game* *L*)) (*zimplode* (*zimage* *Rep-game* *R*)))

**lemma** *Repl-Rep-game-Abs-game*:  $\forall e. \text{Elem } e \ z \longrightarrow e \in \text{games-lfp} \implies \text{Repl } z \ (\text{Rep-game } o \ \text{Abs-game}) = z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *game-split*:  $g = \text{Game } (\text{left-options } g) (\text{right-options } g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Opair-in-games-lfp*:  
**assumes** *l*: *explode* *l*  $\subseteq$  *games-lfp*  
**and** *r*: *explode* *r*  $\subseteq$  *games-lfp*  
**shows** *Opair* *l r*  $\in$  *games-lfp*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-options[simp]*:  $\text{left-options } (\text{Game } l \ r) = l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-options[simp]*:  $\text{right-options } (\text{Game } l \ r) = r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Game-ext*:  $(\text{Game } l1 \ r1 = \text{Game } l2 \ r2) = ((l1 = l2) \wedge (r1 = r2))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *option-of* :: (*game* \* *game*) *set* **where**

*option-of*  $\equiv$  *image*  $(\lambda (option, g). (\text{Abs-game } option, \text{Abs-game } g))$  *is-option-of*

**lemma** *option-to-is-option-of*:  $((option, g) \in \text{option-of}) = ((\text{Rep-game } option, \text{Rep-game } g) \in \text{is-option-of})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-is-option-of*: *wf is-option-of*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *wf-option-of[recdef-wf, simp, intro]*: *wf option-of*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-option-is-option[simp, intro]*:  $\text{zin } x \ (\text{right-options } g) \implies \text{zin } x \ (\text{options } g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-option-is-option[simp, intro]*:  $\text{zin } x \ (\text{left-options } g) \implies \text{zin } x \ (\text{options } g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zin-options[simp, intro]*:  $\text{zin } x \ (\text{options } g) \implies (x, g) \in \text{option-of}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**function**

$\text{neg-game} :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{game}$

**where**

$[\text{simp del}]: \text{neg-game } g = \text{Game } (\text{zimage } \text{neg-game } (\text{right-options } g)) (\text{zimage } \text{neg-game } (\text{left-options } g))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**termination**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{neg-game } (\text{neg-game } g) = g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**function**

$\text{ge-game} :: (\text{game} * \text{game}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**where**

$[\text{simp del}]: \text{ge-game } (G, H) = (\forall x. \text{if } \text{zin } x (\text{right-options } G) \text{ then } (\text{if } \text{zin } x (\text{left-options } H) \text{ then } \neg (\text{ge-game } (H, x) \vee (\text{ge-game } (x, G)))$

$\text{else } \neg (\text{ge-game } (H, x)))$

$\text{else } (\text{if } \text{zin } x (\text{left-options } H) \text{ then } \neg (\text{ge-game } (x, G)) \text{ else } \text{True}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**termination**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ge-game-eq}: \text{ge-game } (G, H) = (\forall x. (\text{zin } x (\text{right-options } G) \longrightarrow \neg \text{ge-game } (H, x)) \wedge (\text{zin } x (\text{left-options } H) \longrightarrow \neg \text{ge-game } (x, G)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ge-game-leftright-refl}[\text{rule-format}]$ :

$\forall y. (\text{zin } y (\text{right-options } x) \longrightarrow \neg \text{ge-game } (x, y)) \wedge (\text{zin } y (\text{left-options } x) \longrightarrow \neg (\text{ge-game } (y, x))) \wedge \text{ge-game } (x, x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ge-game-refl}: \text{ge-game } (x, x) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\forall y. (\text{zin } y (\text{right-options } x) \longrightarrow \neg \text{ge-game } (x, y)) \wedge (\text{zin } y (\text{left-options } x) \longrightarrow \neg (\text{ge-game } (y, x))) \wedge \text{ge-game } (x, x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{eq-game} :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$\text{eq-game } G H \equiv \text{ge-game } (G, H) \wedge \text{ge-game } (H, G)$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-game-sym}: (\text{eq-game } G H) = (\text{eq-game } H G)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-game-refl}: \text{eq-game } G G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *induct-game*:  $(\bigwedge x. \forall y. (y, x) \in \text{lprod option-of} \longrightarrow P y \implies P x) \implies P a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ge-game-trans*:

**assumes** *ge-game*  $(x, y)$  *ge-game*  $(y, z)$

**shows** *ge-game*  $(x, z)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-game-trans*: *eq-game*  $a b \implies \text{eq-game } b c \implies \text{eq-game } a c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *zero-game* :: *game*

**where** *zero-game*  $\equiv \text{Game } \text{zempty } \text{zempty}$

**function**

*plus-game* :: *game*  $\Rightarrow$  *game*  $\Rightarrow$  *game*

**where**

$[\text{simp del}]: \text{plus-game } G H = \text{Game } (\text{zunion } (\text{zimage } (\lambda g. \text{plus-game } g H) (\text{left-options } G)))$

$(\text{zimage } (\lambda h. \text{plus-game } G h) (\text{left-options } H)))$   
 $(\text{zunion } (\text{zimage } (\lambda g. \text{plus-game } g H) (\text{right-options } G)))$   
 $(\text{zimage } (\lambda h. \text{plus-game } G h) (\text{right-options } H)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**termination**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-game-comm*: *plus-game*  $G H = \text{plus-game } H G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *game-ext-eq*:  $(G = H) = (\text{left-options } G = \text{left-options } H \wedge \text{right-options } G = \text{right-options } H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-zero-game[simp]*: *left-options* (*zero-game*) = *zempty*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-zero-game[simp]*: *right-options* (*zero-game*) = *zempty*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-game-zero-right[simp]*: *plus-game*  $G \text{ zero-game} = G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-game-zero-left*: *plus-game* *zero-game*  $G = G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-imp-options[simp]*: *zin opt* (*left-options*  $g$ )  $\implies \text{zin opt } (\text{options } g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-imp-options[simp]*: *zin opt* (*right-options*  $g$ )  $\implies \text{zin opt } (\text{options } g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-options-plus*:

$\text{left-options } (\text{plus-game } u \ v) = \text{zunion } (\text{zimage } (\lambda g. \text{plus-game } g \ v) (\text{left-options } u)) (\text{zimage } (\lambda h. \text{plus-game } u \ h) (\text{left-options } v))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-options-plus*:

$\text{right-options } (\text{plus-game } u \ v) = \text{zunion } (\text{zimage } (\lambda g. \text{plus-game } g \ v) (\text{right-options } u)) (\text{zimage } (\lambda h. \text{plus-game } u \ h) (\text{right-options } v))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *left-options-neg*:  $\text{left-options } (\text{neg-game } u) = \text{zimage } \text{neg-game } (\text{right-options } u)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *right-options-neg*:  $\text{right-options } (\text{neg-game } u) = \text{zimage } \text{neg-game } (\text{left-options } u)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-game-assoc*:  $\text{plus-game } (\text{plus-game } F \ G) \ H = \text{plus-game } F \ (\text{plus-game } G \ H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-plus-game*:  $\text{neg-game } (\text{plus-game } G \ H) = \text{plus-game } (\text{neg-game } G) \ (\text{neg-game } H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-game-plus-inverse*:  $\text{eq-game } (\text{plus-game } x \ (\text{neg-game } x)) \ \text{zero-game}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ge-plus-game-left*:  $\text{ge-game } (y, z) = \text{ge-game } (\text{plus-game } x \ y, \text{plus-game } x \ z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ge-plus-game-right*:  $\text{ge-game } (y, z) = \text{ge-game } (\text{plus-game } y \ x, \text{plus-game } z \ x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ge-neg-game*:  $\text{ge-game } (\text{neg-game } x, \text{neg-game } y) = \text{ge-game } (y, x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *eq-game-rel* ::  $(\text{game} * \text{game}) \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $\text{eq-game-rel} \equiv \{ (p, q) . \text{eq-game } p \ q \}$

**typedef** *Pg* =  $\text{UNIV} // \text{eq-game-rel}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-eq-game[simp]*:  $\text{equiv } \text{UNIV } \text{eq-game-rel}$



$\langle proof \rangle$

**instantiation**  $Pg :: \{ord, zero, plus, minus, uminus\}$   
**begin**

**definition**

$Pg\text{-}zero\text{-}def: 0 = Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{zero\text{-}game\})$

**definition**

$Pg\text{-}le\text{-}def: G \leq H \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ g \ h. \ g \in Rep\text{-}Pg \ G \wedge h \in Rep\text{-}Pg \ H \wedge ge\text{-}game \ (h, g))$

**definition**

$Pg\text{-}less\text{-}def: G < H \longleftrightarrow G \leq H \wedge G \neq (H::Pg)$

**definition**

$Pg\text{-}minus\text{-}def: - \ G = contents \ (\bigcup \ g \in Rep\text{-}Pg \ G. \ \{Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{neg\text{-}game \ g\})\})$

**definition**

$Pg\text{-}plus\text{-}def: G + H = contents \ (\bigcup \ g \in Rep\text{-}Pg \ G. \ \bigcup \ h \in Rep\text{-}Pg \ H. \ \{Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{plus\text{-}game \ g \ h\})\})$

**definition**

$Pg\text{-}diff\text{-}def: G - H = G + (- \ (H::Pg))$

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma**  $Rep\text{-}Abs\text{-}eq\text{-}Pg[simp]: Rep\text{-}Pg \ (Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{g\})) = eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{g\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $char\text{-}Pg\text{-}le[simp]: (Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{g\})) \leq Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{h\}) = (ge\text{-}game \ (h, g))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $char\text{-}Pg\text{-}eq[simp]: (Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{g\})) = Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{h\}) = (eq\text{-}game \ g \ h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $char\text{-}Pg\text{-}plus[simp]: Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{g\}) + Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{h\}) = Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{plus\text{-}game \ g \ h\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $char\text{-}Pg\text{-}minus[simp]: - \ Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{g\}) = Abs\text{-}Pg \ (eq\text{-}game\text{-}rel \text{ `` } \{neg\text{-}game \ g\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma eq-Abs-Pg[rule-format, cases type: Pg]: ( $\forall$  g. z = Abs-Pg (eq-game-rel “
{g}))  $\longrightarrow$  P)  $\longrightarrow$  P
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instance Pg :: ordered-ab-group-add
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

```